Application No. 10/814,243

Attorney Docket No. 09118.0002

Amendment and Response to Restriction Requirement - August 29, 2005

In a Restriction Requirement mailed July 27, 2005, the Examiner required

restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between the following allegedly distinct inventions:

I. Claims 1-29, allegedly drawn to a method of sealing a container;

II. Claims 30-49, allegedly drawn to a sealed product;

III. Claims 50-69, allegedly drawn to a kit for forming a sealed container; and

IV. Claims 70-76, allegedly drawn to a seal testing apparatus.

Without necessarily agreeing with the characterizations made in the Restriction

Requirement, Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Invention II, claims 30-49

allegedly drawn to a sealed product, with traverse. Accordingly, Applicants cancel non-

elected claims 1-29 and 50-76, without prejudice or disclaimer. Indeed, Applicants

reserve the right to pursue the subject matter of claims 1-29 and 50-76 in another

By:

application, for example, an application claiming priority to this application.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Response, please

charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,

GARRETT & DUMNER, L.L.P.

Dated: August 29, 2005

Michael W. Kim

Reg. No. 51,880